



Sh Surjit Singh Aujla,
Village Aujla Dhak,
P.O Lidhar Kalan, Distt Jalandhar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o XEN,
Water Supply and Sanitation,
Division-2, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SE,
Water Supply and Sanitation Circle,
Jalandhar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2142 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 16.03.2020 has sought information regarding details of tenders issued for work got done from 01.03.2019 to 15.03.2020 in sub sub-divisions under Jalandhar Division-2 –copy of bills passed for the work done for annual maintenance - copy of cash books – copy of quotation registers – name of SDOs alongwith monthly travelling allowance bills and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Xen Water Supply and Sanitation Division No.2 Jalandhar. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 28.04.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Jalandhar. Both the parties are absent.

The Commission has received a letter from the PIO on 19.02.2021 stating that the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 02.02.2021.

The appellant is directed to point out the discrepancies if any in writing to the PIO with a copy to the Commission and the PIO is directed to remove the same.

To come up for further hearing on **28.04.2021 at 11.00 AM** through a video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar.

Chandigarh
Dated:26.02.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Inderjit Singh,
C/o Chamber No-141-A,
New Court, Jalandhar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SDO,
Water Supply and Sanitation Circle,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SE,
Water Supply and Sanitation Circle,
Jalandhar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2296 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Inderjit Singh as the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 02.06.2020 has sought information regarding grants approved for water tank in village Santowali Block Adampur – resolution passed by Panchayat alongwith jamabandi and map of the land – guidelines issued by the Govt. – tenders issued and advertisement published – name of contractors participated in bid for tenders – depth of tubewell and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Xen Water Supply and Sanitation Circle, Jalandhar. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 03.07.2020 which took no decision on the appeal. After filing first appeal, the PIO sent reply to the appellant vide letter dated 13.07.2020 to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed 2nd appeal in the Commission.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Jalandhar. The Commission has received a letter from the PIO on 03.02.2021 stating that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 11.01.2021. As per appellant, the information is incomplete.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing the appellant, following was concluded:

- | | | |
|----------------|---|--|
| - Point-1 | - | Information stands provided |
| - Point-2 | - | As per appellant, the PIO has not supplied the resolution passed by the Panchayat. The PIO is directed to provide copy of resolution if any available on record. |
| - Point-3 | - | PIO to provide website |
| - Point-4 | - | As per appellant, the information is not certified. The PIO to provide certified copy of the information. |
| - Point-5 | - | Provided |
| - Point-6 | - | Not required |
| - Point-7 | - | Provided |
| - Point-8,9&10 | - | Pertains to point-2 – PIO to provide copy of resolution |

Appeal Case No. 2296 of 2020

The information be provided within 15 days of the receipt of the order.

To come up for further hearing on **28.04.2021 at 11.00 AM** through a video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar.

Chandigarh
Dated:26.02.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Trilochan Singh,
H No-848-A, M.I.G, Jamalpur Colony,
District Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SDM-cum-
Licensing and Registration,
Dharamkot, Distt Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM,
Dharamkot, Distt Moga.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2551 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Varinderpal Singh, Reader/SDM for the respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 10.05.2020 has sought information regarding details of PB-76 series allotted after giving charge of registration authority to SDM Dharamkot –details of bids for VIP/fancy numbers – series allotted to commercial and non commercial vehicles alongwith the vehicles being used for agriculture purpose – NOC obtained from outstate vehicles while issuing these series – details relating to reassignment to outstate vehicles and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SDM Dharamkot. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 20.06.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Moga. The respondent present pleaded that since the information sought by the appellant is in question form, it cannot be provided and the reply has been sent to the appellant. The appellant is absent.

The Commission however, makes it clear that the information if exists in the custody of the public authority, even though it is sought in question form, it be provided.

The PIO is directed to relook at the RTI application and provide point-wise information to the appellant within 15 days. However, NOC regarding point-4 be not provided. If the information is not available, to give appropriate point-wise reply on an affidavit.

To come up for further hearing on **04.05.2021 at 11.00 AM** through a video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Moga.

Chandigarh
Dated:26.02.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Jagmohan Singh,
R/o Village Phulewala,
P.O Gholia Khurd, Tehsil Bagha Purana,
Distt Moga.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SDM,
Bagha Purana, Distt Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Moga.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2684 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Jagmohan Singh as the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 16.10.2019 has sought information regarding complaints received against Registry Clerk of Tehsildar Bagha Purana and Tehsildar Sh.Rakesh Kumar and action taken thereon – any police complaint or department enquiry against Registry Clerk Sh.Jaswinder Singh – date of appointment of Sh.Jaswinder Singh alongwith documents obtained from him and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SDM Bagha Purana. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 13.01.2020 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 16.03.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Moga. The appellant claims that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent is absent. Having gone through the RTI application, the PIO is directed that if there are any such documents available regarding point-1 & 2, these be sent to the Commission in a sealed envelope. The information on point-3, being personal information, be not provided.

To come up for further hearing on **04.05.2021 at 11.00 AM** through a video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Moga.

Chandigarh
Dated:26.02.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Laxman Singh, S/o Sh. Bhajan Singh,
2773, Phase-2, Urban Estate,
Patiala.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Primary Education officer,
Bhunerheri-2, Sanaur,
Distt Patiala.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 625 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Laxman Singh as the Complainant
Ms. Neeru Bala, BPEO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 22.01.2020 has sought information regarding details of employees working as BST and CST alongwith their phone numbers – details of schools visited from 01.04.2019 to 21.01.2020 alongwith visiting registers and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Block Primary Education Officer, Bhunerheri-2 Sanaur District Patiala. The complainant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 13.02.2020 after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 08.09.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The complainant claims that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent present pleaded that the complainant was asked vide letter dated 28.02.2020 to deposit requisite fee of Rs.2500/- which he deposited vide demand draft dated 12.03.2020. However, since the demand draft was wrongly addressed and not in favour of the BPEO-Bhunerheri-2, the complainant was asked to get it rectified which the complainant did not. Further the information that has been sought by the complainant was not readily available and had to be collected from different schools, and due to lockdown in the State on account of covid-19, the information got delayed.

The respondent has handed back the demand draft to the complainant to rectify it. The complainant requested that the PIO be asked to raise the actual cost of the information from him to get a new draft made.

The PIO is directed to raise the actual cost of the information within 5 days and once the complainant deposits the requisite fee, the PIO to provide information to the complainant within ten days.

To come up for further hearing on **18.05.2021 at 11.00 AM** through a video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

Chandigarh
Dated:26.02.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Bhupinder Singh, S/o. Sh Gurjail Singh,
VPO Bahmana Basti, Tehsil Samana,
Distt Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DC,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Commissioner Patiala Division,
Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2724 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 25.01.2020 has sought information regarding action taken report on the complaint filed on 10.12.2019 against Surinder Kumar Vasika Navish and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of DC Patiala. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 14.08.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. Both the parties are absent. The case is adjourned.

To come up for further hearing on **18.05.2021 at 11.00 AM** through a video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

Chandigarh
Dated:26.02.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Lajpat Rai, S/o Sh. Harbans Lal,
H No-B-3/287, Romana Street,
Jaito, Distt Faridkot.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o EO, NC, Jaito,
Distt. Faridkot.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 653 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Lajpat Rai as the Complainant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 21.11.2019 has sought information regarding action taken report on the complainant filed on 24.10.2019 against Nagar Council Jaito's EO and concerned staff for irregularities in cash matters – date of audit from 01.02.2000 to 01.12.2019 alongwith fee received as audit fee – objections raised during audit – disciplinary action taken against the staff for irregularities and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of EO-NC Jaito. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 15.09.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The Commission has received a letter from the PIO-Examiner, Local Fund Accounts, Pb Chandigarh stating that the information concerning them relating to points 1,2 & 1st part of point-3 has already been provided to the complainant in an earlier appeal case No.894/2020 which was disposed off by Dr.Pawan Kumar Singla, State Information Commissioner on 10.08.2020 and since the remaining information (2nd part of point-3 and point-4) relates to EO-NC Jaito, the RTI application has been transferred to them on 23.01.2020 u/s 6(3) of the RTI Act.

The EO-NC Jaito is impleaded in the case and directed to provide information as per the RTI application transferred by Local Fund Accounts on 23.01.2020 and appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing alongwith explanation for not attending to the RTI application.

To come up for further hearing on **27.04.2021 at 11.00 AM** through a video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot.

Chandigarh
Dated:26.02.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner